

# FLAT ENVELOPES IN COMMUTATIVE RINGS

BY

J. ASENSIO MAYOR AND J. MARTINEZ HERNANDEZ†

*Departamento de Matematicas, Universidad de Murcia, 30001 Murcia, Spain*

## ABSTRACT

We prove that if  $R$  is a commutative ring such that each localization at a prime ideal has finite weak global dimension then every  $R$ -module has a flat envelope if and only if  $R$  is coherent and has weak global dimension less than or equal to two.

Flat preenvelopes and flat envelopes were defined by Enochs [2] which characterized the coherence in terms of flat preenvelopes and proved that for a noetherian local domain, every module has a flat envelope if the ring has weak global dimension  $\leq 2$ , remarking that it would be interesting to determine the rings for which every module has a flat envelope. In [5] Martinez showed that, over a commutative ring with weak global dimension  $\leq 2$ , every module has a flat envelope if and only if  $R$  is a coherent ring, and, for a domain, the condition that each module has a flat envelope is equivalent to being a coherent ring with weak global dimension  $\leq 2$ .

In this paper  $R$  will be an associative ring with identity and an  $R$ -module will be a right  $R$ -module unless otherwise stated. All the morphisms, even of left  $R$ -modules, will be always written as acting on the left. If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module, and  $I$  a set,  $M^{(I)}$  will be the direct sum of copies of  $M$  indexed by  $I$ , and  $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$  will denote the dual module of  $M$ ,  $\beta_M$  being the canonical homomorphism from  $M$  to  $M^{**}$ .

If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module, a projective cover of  $M$  is an epimorphism  $f: P \rightarrow M$ , where  $P$  is a projective  $R$ -module, such that  $\text{Ker } f$  is a superfluous submodule of  $P$ . It is easy to see that these conditions are equivalent to the existence of a homomorphism  $f: P \rightarrow M$  with  $P$  projective verifying:

† The authors have been partially supported by the C.A.I.C.Y.T.  
Received October 6, 1987

(a) For each homomorphism  $g : P' \rightarrow M$  where  $P'$  is a projective  $R$ -module, there exists a homomorphism  $h : P' \rightarrow P$  such that  $f \circ h = g$ .

(b) Every endomorphism  $h$  of  $P$  such that  $f \circ h = f$  is an automorphism.

Dually, a *flat preenvelope* of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is a homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow F$ , with  $F$  a flat  $R$ -module, such that if  $g$  is a homomorphism from  $M$  to a flat  $R$ -module  $F'$  then there exists  $h : F \rightarrow F'$  verifying  $h \circ f = g$ . If, furthermore, the endomorphisms  $h$  of  $F$ , satisfying  $h \circ f = f$  are automorphisms, then  $f$  is called a *flat envelope* of  $M$  [2].

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $R$  be a left coherent ring and  $M$  a finitely presented right  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  has a flat envelope if and only if the left  $R$ -module  $M^*$  has a projective cover.*

**PROOF.** Let  $f : M \rightarrow F$  be a flat envelope of  $M$ . Then, by [2, Lemma 5.1],  $F$  is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. Let  $f^* : F^* \rightarrow M^*$  be the induced homomorphism. We claim that  $f^*$  is a projective cover of  $M^*$ . To see this it will be enough to show that if  $h : F^* \rightarrow F^*$  is a homomorphism such that  $f^* \circ h = f^*$ , then  $h$  is an automorphism (observe that  $f^*$  is an epimorphism because  $f : M \rightarrow F$  is a flat envelope). Now,  $\beta_F^{-1} \circ h^* \circ \beta_F$  is an endomorphism of  $F$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_F^{-1} \circ h^* \circ \beta_F \circ f &= \beta_F^{-1} \circ h^* \circ f^{**} \circ \beta_M = \beta_F^{-1} \circ (f^* \circ h)^* \circ \beta_M \\ &= \beta_F^{-1} \circ f^{**} \circ \beta_M = \beta_F^{-1} \circ \beta_F \circ f = f \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\beta_F^{-1} \circ h^* \circ \beta_F$  is an automorphism of  $F$  and hence  $h = \beta_{F^*}^{-1} \circ h^{**} \circ \beta_{F^*}$  is an automorphism.

Conversely, if  $g : F \rightarrow M^*$  is a projective cover of  $M^*$ , by the left coherence of  $R$  and the fact of  $M$  being a finitely presented right  $R$ -module,  $M^*$  is also finitely presented [1, Prop. 1] and hence  $F$  is a finitely generated projective module.

Now, let  $f = g^* \circ \beta_M : M \rightarrow F^*$ . In a similar way to the above case, if  $h : F^* \rightarrow F^*$  is a homomorphism such that  $h \circ f = f$ , then  $h$  must be an automorphism.

On the other hand, let  $t : M \rightarrow F'$  be a homomorphism where  $F'$  is a flat  $R$ -module. Then, as  $M$  is a finitely presented module, by [4, Theorem 1.2]  $t$  factors through an homomorphism  $t' : M \rightarrow P'$  where  $P'$  is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. Now  $(P')^*$  is projective as left  $R$ -module and hence there exists a homomorphism  $s : (P')^* \rightarrow F$  such that  $g \circ s = (t')^*$ , so that the homo-

morphism  $\beta_{\bar{p}}^{-1} \circ s^*$  satisfies that  $\beta_{\bar{p}}^{-1} \circ s^* \circ f = t'$  and we get that  $t$  factors through  $f$ , which completes the proof.

In [2] Enochs has shown that the left coherent rings are characterized by the condition that all the right  $R$ -modules have a flat preenvelope. More precisely we get the following result.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring such that every finitely presented right  $R$ -module has a flat preenvelope. Then  $R$  is left coherent.*

**PROOF.** We will show that, for each set  $I$ , the right  $R$ -module  $R^I$  is flat. Let  $f: M \rightarrow F$  be a flat preenvelope of a finitely presented right  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then the homomorphism from  $\text{Hom}_R(F, F')$  to  $\text{Hom}_R(M, F')$  induced by  $f$  is an epimorphism for every flat right  $R$ -module  $F'$ . In particular, this holds for  $R_R$  and hence, for each set  $I$ ,  $(f^*)^I: (F^*)^I \rightarrow (M^*)^I$  is an epimorphism. Now, since  $(F^*)^I \cong \text{Hom}_R(F, R^I)$  and  $(M^*)^I \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, R^I)$  we have that every homomorphism from  $M$  to  $R^I$  factors through a flat module, which shows that  $R^I$  is flat.

We recall that a ring  $R$  is called semiregular if each finitely presented right  $R$ -module (or equivalently each finitely presented left  $R$ -module) has a projective cover [6]. Von Neumann regular rings and semiperfect rings are examples of semiregular rings.

From Propositions 1 and 2 we can get

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $R$  be a semiregular ring. Then every finitely presented right  $R$ -module has a flat envelope if and only if  $R$  is a left coherent ring.*

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $R$  be a coherent commutative ring and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then every finitely presented  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module has a flat envelope.*

A left  $R$ -module  $N$  is called FP-injective if  $\text{Ext}_R(M, N) = 0$  for each finitely presented left  $R$ -module  $M$ .  $R$  is said to be left self-FP-injective if itself considered as left  $R$ -module is FP-injective. We get

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let  $R$  be a right self-FP-injective right coherent ring. Then every finitely presented right  $R$ -module has a flat envelope if and only if  $R$  is a left coherent semiregular ring.*

**PROOF.** It follows from Corollary 3 and Proposition 1 because, in this case, every finitely presented left  $R$ -module is reflexive [3, Corol. 2.4].

Note that if  $R$  is a commutative ring such that every injective  $R$ -module is

flat (an IF-ring) then every finitely presented  $R$ -module has a flat envelope if and only if  $R$  is a semiregular ring. This implies that there exist IF-rings without sufficient flat envelopes, even for finitely presented modules. For instance, let  $R = \mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  be the trivial extension of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . By [1, Examp. 1, p. 249]  $R$  is a commutative IF-ring such that it is not regular modulo its radical and hence it is not a semiregular ring [6, Theorem 2.9].

In the remainder of the paper  $R$  will denote a commutative ring.

**LEMMA 6.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module with a flat preenvelope. Then  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has a flat preenvelope for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $f: M \rightarrow F$  be a flat preenvelope of  $M$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal of  $R$ . If we denote by  $\phi_M$  the canonical homomorphism from  $M$  to  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , let  $g: M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow F'$  be a homomorphism to a flat  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $F'$ . As  $F'$  is also a flat  $R$ -module there exists a homomorphism  $h': F \rightarrow F'$  such that  $h' \circ f = g \circ \phi_M$ . Then  $h = h'$  verifies that

$$h \circ f_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \phi_M = h \circ \phi_F \circ f = h' \circ f = g \circ \phi_M$$

and hence  $h \circ f_{\mathfrak{p}} = g$  which implies that  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}: M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a flat preenvelope of the  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

In a straightforward way we can prove the following result.

**LEMMA 7.** *If  $M$  has a flat envelope  $M \rightarrow F$  and  $M \rightarrow P$  is a flat preenvelope of  $M$ , then there exists a flat envelope of  $M$ ,  $M \rightarrow F'$ , such that  $F'$  is a direct summand of  $P$  and  $F' \cong F$ .*

**PROPOSITION 8.** *Let  $R$  be a ring for which every  $R$ -module has a flat envelope. Then for every finitely presented  $R$ -module  $M$ , the  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\mathbb{N})}$  has a flat envelope for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $M$  be a finitely presented  $R$ -module and  $f: M \rightarrow P$  a flat envelope of  $M$ . By hypothesis, the  $R$ -module  $M^{(\mathbb{N})}$  has a flat envelope and as a consequence of [2, Coroll. 2 of Prop. 4.2] we get that if  $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of endomorphisms of  $P$  satisfying  $g_n \circ f = 0 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then for each  $x \in P$  there exists  $m_x \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g_{m_x} \circ g_{m_x-1} \circ \dots \circ g_2 \circ g_1(x) = 0$ .

Now, since  $P$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, it is possible to choose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g_m \circ \dots \circ g_1 = 0$ .

On the other hand, let  $\{g'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of endomorphisms of  $P_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with  $g'_n \circ f_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $P$  is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module the canonical homomorphism  $\pi$  from  $\text{End}_R(P)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to  $\text{End}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(P_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is an isomorphism

and hence for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $g_n \in \text{End}_R(P)$  and  $s_n \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  satisfying that  $\pi(g_n/s_n) = g'_n$ . In this way  $\pi(g_n/1) = s_n \cdot g'_n$  and  $s_n \cdot g'_n \circ f_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  is a generating set of  $M$ , we have that

$$(g_n \circ f)(x_i)/1 = (s_n \cdot g'_n \circ f_{\mathfrak{p}})(x_i/1) = 0 \quad \text{for each } i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So that there exist  $t_n^i \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $(t_n^i \cdot g_n \circ f)(x_i) = t_n^i \cdot (g_n \circ f)(x_i) = 0$ .

Now, if we consider  $t_n = \prod_{i=1}^r t_n^i$  we get that the sequence  $\{t_n \cdot g_n\}$  satisfies that  $t_n \cdot g_n \circ f = 0$  and hence there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(t_m \cdot g_m) \circ (t_{m-1} \cdot g_{m-1}) \circ \dots \circ (t_1 \cdot g_1) = 0.$$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(t_m \cdot g_m \circ \dots \circ t_1 \cdot g_1) &= \pi(t_m \cdot g_m) \circ \dots \circ \pi(t_1 \cdot g_1) \\ &= (t_m s_m \cdot g'_m) \circ \dots \circ (t_1 s_1 \cdot g'_1) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

But, since  $\prod_{j=1}^m t_j s_j$  is an unity in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we get that  $g'_m \circ \dots \circ g'_1 = 0$ .

Finally, if we consider a flat envelope  $h : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow F$  of the  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (which exists by Corollary 4) by using Lemmas 6 and 7 we may assume that  $F$  is a direct summand of  $P_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then it is easy to see that if  $\{k_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of endomorphisms of  $F$  such that  $k_n \circ h = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $k_m \circ \dots \circ k_1 = 0$  and from [2, Prop. 4.2] we obtain that the homomorphism  $h^{(\mathbb{N})} : M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\mathbb{N})} \rightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\mathbb{N})}$  is a flat envelope of the  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ .

For a ring  $R$  we will denote by  $\text{wD}(R)$  the weak global dimension of  $R$ . We can get

**THEOREM 9.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring such that  $\text{wD}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \infty$  for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ . The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $R$  is coherent and  $\text{wD}(R) \leq 2$ .
- (ii) Every  $R$ -module has a flat envelope.

**PROOF.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) It is [5, Theorem 2.11 (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)].

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) If every  $R$ -module has a flat envelope, then  $R$  is coherent. Therefore, for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ ,  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a coherent ring and as  $\text{wD}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \infty$  every principal ideal of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has finite projective dimension and hence  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a domain by [7, Coroll. 5.16]. On the other hand, since every finitely presented  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module can be considered as the localization of some finitely presented  $R$ -module, we get from Proposition 8 that every countable direct sum of copies

of a finitely presented  $R_p$ -module  $M$  has a flat envelope. Now, by using [2, Corollary to Lemma 5.1], we get that if  $M \rightarrow F$  is a flat envelope of  $M$ , then  $M$  and  $F$  have the same rank and every diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \longrightarrow & F \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & & F' \end{array}$$

where  $F'$  is flat can be completed in a unique way.

Finally, an argument analogous to that used in [5, Theorem 2.11] allows us to obtain the existence of a flat envelope for every  $R_p$ -module, and hence from [5, Theorem 2.12] we get that  $\text{wD}(R_p) \leq 2$  so that  $\text{wD}(R) = \text{Sup}\{\text{wD}(R_p)\} \leq 2$  and the proof is complete.

**COROLLARY 10.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with  $\text{wD}(R) < \infty$ . Then every  $R$ -module has a flat envelope if and only if  $R$  is coherent and  $\text{wD}(R) \leq 2$ .*

#### REFERENCES

1. R. R. Colby, *Rings which have flat injective modules*, J. Algebra **35** (1975), 239–252.
2. E. E. Enochs, *Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents*, Isr. J. Math. **39** (1981), 189–209.
3. S. Jain, *Flat and FP-injectivity*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **41** (1973), 437–442.
4. D. Lazard, *Autour de la platitude*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **97** (1969), 81–128.
5. J. Martinez Hernandez, *Relatively flat envelopes*, Commun. Algebra **14** (1986), 867–884.
6. W. K. Nicholson, *Semiregular modules and rings*, Can. J. Math. **28** (1976), 1105–1120.
7. W. V. Vasconcelos, *The rings of dimension two*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **22**, Marcel Dekker, 1976.